

JOHN F. KRATTLI County Counsel

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION

500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

August 20, 2013

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1609 FACSIMILE (213) 626-2105 TDD (213) 633-0901

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI

Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

ROGER H. GRANBO

Assistant County Counsel

Law Enforcement Services Division

RE:

M.L., a minor, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

Norwalk Superior Court Case Nos. VC058338; VC058936; and

VC058446

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board's recommendation in the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda of September 3, 2013.

RHG:scr

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled M.L., a minor, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al., Norwalk Superior Court Case Nos. VC058338; VC058936; and VC058446 in the amount of \$311,500, and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Sheriff's Department Contract Cities Trust Fund's budget.

This lawsuit concerns allegations of excessive force shooting by Sheriff's Deputies.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

M.L., a minor v. County of Los Angeles; E.P.; a minor v. County of Los Angeles; and A.L., a minor v. County of los Angeles

CASE NUMBER

Superior Court Case Nos. VC058338; VC058936; and

VC058446

COURT

Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED

Complaint filed: March 22, 2011

Claim: October 29, 2010

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ \$311,500

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Brian Dunn, Esq. of The Cochran Firm Peter Goldstein, Esq. Marc Grossman, Esq.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Jennifer A.D. Lehman

NATURE OF CASE

This is a recommendation to settle for \$311,500, the lawsuit filed by minors M.L., E.P., and A.L., and Janice Johnson, for the alleged wrongful death of their father and son Jerome Luckett. Plaintiffs allege civil rights violations and wrongful death causes of action against two Deputy Sheriffs.

The Deputies contend that they acted reasonably and fired upon the Decendent after he failed to

follow commands to show his hands, and instead reached for his waistband. However, due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$311,500 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 102,509.87

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 5,274.59

Case Name: M.L., a minor v. County of Los Angeles

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The Intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	Wednesday, June 16, 2010; approximately 9;31 p.m.	
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	M.L., a minor y. County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan No. 2013-017	
	On Wednesday, June 16, 2010, at approximately 9:31 p.m., two Los Angeles County deputy sheriffs, assigned to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's Lakewood Station, received a radio call of gunshots in an apartment complex.	
	When the deputies arrived at the apartment complex, they were directed by the caller to the rear parking lot where the shooting suspects were believed to have fled. In the parking lot, the deputies contacted the decedent and another man. Both men were wearing clothing similar to the clothing worn by the reported shooter(s).	
	While one man readily compiled with the deputy sheriff's instructions, the decedent acted as if he was arming himself. In fear for his life, a deputy sheriff discharged his weapon one time and struck the decedent in the chest.	

1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

In their lawsuit, the plaintiffs (the decedent's three minor children and mother) alleged the decedent was subjected to unreasonable force by a member of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department had relevant policies and procedures/protocols in effect at the time of the incident.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's training curriculum addresses the circumstances which occurred in the incident.

This incident was thoroughly reviewed by the members of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's Executive Force Review Committee. While their review found that the force used by the deputy sheriff was reasonable, necessary, and in compliance with Department policy, appropriate administrative action was imposed upon two members of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

o. Mo the contest absent and basing aspectations that systems		
☐ Yes — The corrective actions address department-wide system issues.		
☑ No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.		
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department		
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)		
Judy A. Gerhardt, Acting Captain Risk Management Bureau		
Signature:	Date:	
Jun 6	7/10/13	
Name: (Department Head)		
Glen Dragovich, Division Director Administrative and Training Division		
Signature:	Date:	
Ma Rega:	7/12/13	
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE ONLY		
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the Co	жи	
☐ Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applied.	cability.	
No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this department	nt	
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)		
GO COSTANTINO		
Signature:	Date: 8/5/13	